

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/618,105	07/10/2003	Koji Kita	KIT-359	3881	
	7590 02/04/2008 & JAWORSKI, LLP		EXAMINER		
666 FIFTH AV	E	·	DANG, DUY M		
NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
		•			
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/04/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application N	No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/618,105		KITA ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Duy M. Dang		2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE IN A COMMENT OF THE MAILING THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, I will apply and will ex , cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION  nowever, may a reply be tir  pire SIX (6) MONTHS from  on to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	anuary 2008.					
, —	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)🖾	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-17</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are objected to.	1	.i-a-nant				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	urement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note	the attached Office	e Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmei	nt(s)						
1) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	Interview Summar				
==	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5	Paper No(s)/Mail [ ) Notice of Informal				
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6					

10/618,105 Art Unit: 2624

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Applicant's amendment filed on 1/16/08 has been entered and made of record.
- This application is in condition for allowance except for the presence of claims 7-17 directed to an invention non-elected with traverse in the reply filed on 4/27/07. Applicant is required to cancel the noted claims or take other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144). Failure to take action during this period will be treated as authorization to cancel the noted claims by Examiner's Amendment and pass the case to issue.
- This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:Claims 1-5 are objected under 37 C.F.R § 1.75 as follows:

-There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations: "said posture" recited in line 9 of claim 1 (This "said posture" is ambiguous because it is unclear/uncertain whether it is referred to reference display posture, vertical posture or horizontal posture); "the operation" recited at line14 of claim 1 and line 6 of each claim of claims 3-4; and "said rotation operation" recited at lines 7-9 of claim 2.

-The "effects the rotation operation" recited at lines 14-15 of claim 1 ought to be replaced by "rotates the template information".

- 4. Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte*Ouayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).
- 5. A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.
- 6. Claims 1-5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the objection under 37 C.F.R § 1.75, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number:

10/618,105 Art Unit: 2624

- 7. Claim 6 is allowed.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Upon reconsideration in view of Applicant's arguments set forth at pages 8-10 of the response filed on 1/16/08, claims 1-6 are allowed. As pointed out in the Applicant's argument, see page 9 paragraph 2 of the response, claimed features that of "rotation processing means for rotating the template information according to a posture of the image information which is either a vertical posture or a horizontal posture, the vertical and horizontal postures having different vertical and horizontal sizes from each other, the template information having a reference display posture corresponding to either said vertical or horizontal posture of the image information" recited in claims 1 and 6 are not taught or suggested by Hirano. Likewise, claims 2-5 depend from claim 1 and thus are allowed for the same reasons.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duy M. Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-7389. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6:00AM to 2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen D. Lillis can be reached on 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/618,105 Art Unit: 2624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

dmd 2/08

> DUY M. DANG PRIMARY EXAMINER